

SPEC 2000M	REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION	1. Request No. RFC_OA_01_05 Date: 25 August 2005
2. From: Roger Jevons Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH Date: 25.08.05		To: OAET
3. SPEC 2000M Reference: 3-2 paragraphs 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9 3-6 message structure SB2/SC2/SD2		
4. Description Of Request for Clarification: As a result of discussions within the Tiger and NH90 programmes, clarification is requested whether the Spec 2000M allows a Contractor to respond on a SB2/SC2/SD2 transaction with the introduction of a new level 1 segment in order to satisfy all or part of the order with an approved alternative part (ICY:9). Possible business scenarios could be: The customer places a SD1 to divert part of an existing order with priority (e.g. AOG). The contractor can accept the request but with an approved alternative part for the diverted quantity because the original part cannot be delivered in time to meet the priority requirement. The customer sends an SB1 to increase the order quantity. The contractor accepts the increase but with an approved alternative part for the additional quantity because the original PNR is no longer obtainable. The Spec 2000M allows the Contractor to send an SB2/SC2/SD2 without the PNR change and then to subsequently send an SA4 to make the PNR change. The question is whether the Spec 2000M also allows the option for the Contractor to make the change directly with the SB2/SC2/SD2.		
5. Answer Provided: The OAET came to the conclusion that according to the message layouts and possibilities to establish the respective CHG codes in –2 messages PNR change in SB2,SC2,SD2 is possible. Nevertheless the business intention to do as such is dubious as per chapter 3-2 para 2.4.5 another procedure indication is given. The issue will be continued in the forthcoming OAET meeting(s).		